<u>15S-Class5-Possible Quiz Questions</u>

- 1 A lawyer can be sometimes be disbarred for failing to abide by a client's decision as to several specific topics. In a criminal case for which of the following topics is a lawyer NOT always required to follow the client's decision?
 - A Whether to accept a plea bargain offer
 - B. Whether to have a jury trial
 - Whether the client should testify
 - D Whether to make an opening statement
 - **E** A and C but not B or D
- 2. Under the Georgia Rules of Professional Conduct, a lawyer shall reveal information gained from a client if the lawyer reasonably believes such disclosure is necessary
 - Δ to prevent serious injury
 - B to prevent death
 - Both A and B
 - **D** Neither A nor B
- 3. When Frank Armani and Francis Belge found the body of Susan Petz:
 - Armani told Belge, "we have to tell someone about what we've found."
 - B. Belge told Armani that without Garrow's permission, "we can't say a word to anyone about what we've seen."
 - C Both A and B.
 - **D** Neither A nor B.

- 4. During September 1973 Armani and Belge had a plea bargaining meeting with DA Intemann and Investigator McCabe. Which of the following is NOT true?
 - A. McCabe resisted their offer to plead guilty based on insanity, saying that Garrow was not insane.
 - B. Belge told McCabe that Garrow had agreed to be put away in a mental institution for the rest of his life.
 - The New York State Bar Committee on Professional Ethics found that suggesting to
 - the District Attorney that they might be in a position to resolve open cases violated proper professional standards.
 - D. The NY State Bar Committee on Professional Ethics found that the lawyers were duty bound not to disclose the location of the two bodies without the client's consent.
- August 10, 1973. BCI investigator McCabe says he will do anything he can to save Susan Petz if she's still alive and asks Armani, "Should Garrow talk to you about her whereabouts, let us know will you?" Armani's response was:
 - A I can't share confidential information from Garrow with you.
 - B. I'll see what I can do.
 - C. The scope of my representation is limited to the Domblewski case.
 - None of the above.
- 6. What factual differences explain why Armani was not disciplined and Ryder was?
 - A Armani's actions did not relate to potentially relevant evidence of a crime.
 - **B.** Moving parts of Alicia Hauk's body to take photographs was permissible since there was no motive to conceal the body.
 - C. Ryder moved the shotgun into a security box owned by him with the intent of asserting attorney-client privilege if the contents of the box were subpoened.
 - D. Ryder had no duty of confidentiality as to the identify of the person who had placed a shotgun into the safety deposit box at the Richmond National Bank.