But What is Their **Story**?

52 EMORY LAW JOURNAL 1147,1149-50 (2003)

Clark D. Cunningham
W. Lee Burge Professor of Law and Ethics,
Georgia State University College of Law, Atlanta, GA.

*This transcribed presentation for the Symposium on Ethics and Professionalism was based on research contained in an unpublished manuscript by Clark D. Cunningham, What Is Their Story?, available at http://law.gsu.edu/Communication/AmistadEssay/.htm (last visited 6/11/03).

... This website that I mentioned before, among other things, contains information about a pilot project that the Effective Lawyer Client Communication Project is working on now. This pilot project is an effort to try to develop a standard methodology for getting better information about how clients experience the initial interview than I think we currently have. Lawyers, by and large, don't systematically measure client satisfaction or client experience, even at the end of a representation. And they certainly don't do so at the beginning of a representation.

One part of several pieces of methodology in this project is to have this simple one page form filled out by clients immediately after the initial meeting with the lawyer before they leave the office, when the experience is fresh in their mind and when you can obtain almost a hundred percent response rate. This is not just a client satisfaction form. The last question, "If I come back to this office with a different need for legal help I would want the same lawyer to help me," is intended to be the closest thing to a general satisfaction measure. But we're looking at other things as well, for example:

- 1) the lawyer said things I didn't understand.
- 2) The lawyer did not understand what was most important to me.
- 3) The lawyer asked confusing questions.
- 4) I did not say everything I wanted to say.

If the client agrees with any of these items, the client *is right*. One of the things that happens with lawyers is that if clients are dissatisfied they tend to interpret that dissatisfaction as caused by unrealistic expectations, especially if they are dissatisfied at the end of the matter. But if a client tells you "the lawyer said things I didn't understand," then the lawyer *did* say things the client didn't understand. There's just no question about it. (By the way, you'll notice that there is a flip side to the form, where the client has a free response area, so that if they said "the lawyer said things I didn't understand" they can indicate what they didn't understand here.)

At the same time that the client is filling out this questionnaire, the attorney is filling out this form, which is a kind of mirror image of the client questionnaire. For example, if the lawyer "strongly agrees" with item eight, then the lawyer is saying, "Well, in my opinion the client thought I asked confusing questions." So one of the things these two forms do when read together is to give a pretty good measure of how accurate the lawyer was in his or her estimation of how the client experienced the initial interview.

Our hope with the project at this point is to develop a kind of standard instrument that could be used in many different settings, which would give us a way of really measuring how effective communication is at the initial interview.

lawy	questions 1-10, please indicate how mu ver who interviewed you. For each item e below.	-	_	_						he
SCai	,	-4 strongly disagree	-3	-2 disagree	-1	0 not sure	+1	+2 agree	+3	+4 strong agre
The	lawyer									
1.	Made me feel comfortable.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
2.	Said things I did not understand.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
3.	Treated me with respect.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
4.	Did not understand what was most important to me.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
5.	Listened to me.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
6.	Did not explain what he or she would do next for me.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
7.	Was interested in me as a person.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
8.	Asked confusing questions.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
9.	Was someone I could trust.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
10.	Understood why I needed legal help.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
_	r questions 11-13, please indicate how	much you								
11.	I did not say everything I wanted to say.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
12.	I know what I need to do next.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
13.	If I came back to this office with a different need for legal help, I would want the same lawyer to help me.		-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
_	_Show my answers to the lawyer who inte	rviewed m	ıe.							
q	Ve ask about the following information auestion if you do not want to provide the inge Last school degree: Jr. High Hale Black American Indian Male Female Your first language:	n formatior ligh Schoo Hispa	n. I nic _	2 yr. College Asian	e∠ Oth	f yr colleg ner:	eG	raduate S	choo	ı

CONFIDENTIAL CLIENT SURVEY (CI-Surv8) Site Code ___ Case code__ Lawyer code ___ Cope Effective Lawyer-Client Communication Project Web Site: http://law.gsu.edu/Communication

This survey will not be seen by anyone until this office decides whether to represent you. If this office

Please use the space below if you would like to explain any of your answers to the questions on the survey. For example, if you circled +4 to Question 2: "The lawyer said things I did not understand", you can tell us here what you did not understand. If you have other questions or comments about your meeting with the lawyer, you can also write those down in this space:									

We	For questions 1-10, please respond by image realize this is a difficult task and may invecte any number corresponding to the scale	volve sor								
		-4 stron disag		-2 disagree	-1	0 not sure	+1	+2 agree	+3	+4 strongly agree
ne cli 1.		-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
2.	Did not understand some things I said.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
3.	Felt treated with respect.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
4.	Felt as if I did not understand what was most important to him or her.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
5.	Felt like I listened well.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
6.	Felt like I did not explain what I would do next for him or her.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
7.	Felt like I was interested in him or her as a person.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
8.	Thought I asked confusing questions.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
9.	Trusted me.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
10.	Thought I understood why he or she needed legal help.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
-	estions 11-17, express your <u>own</u> opinion, i ent	ndicating	j how r	nuch you	disagr	ee or ag	ree with	n each sta	atem	ent.
11.	Did not say everything that he or she wanted to say.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4
<u> </u>	Knows what he or she needs to do next.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+4

13. Would want me to help him/her, if the -4 -3 -2 -1 0 +1 +2 +3 +4 client came back to this clinic with a different need for legal help. 14. Seemed confused. -4 -3 -2 -1 0 +1 +2 +3 +4 15. Told me the whole story. -4 -3 -2 -1 0 +1 +2 +3

	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									
16. Had unrealistic goals.			-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+
17. Did not to	ell me the truth.	-4	-3	-2	-1	0	+1	+2	+3	+
you do Age	about the following information to the information of the information	on. nerican India	anl	Hispani	c <i>A</i>	Asian C				